

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

RONALD SATISH EMRIT,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
AGRICULTURE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02703-APG-NJK

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION AND  
DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE**

(ECF No. 6)

On December 2, 2016, Magistrate Judge Koppe issued a report and recommendation recommending that I dismiss this case without prejudice because plaintiff Ronald Emrit did not follow the required procedures imposed by Chief Judge Navarro's orders declaring him a vexatious litigant. ECF No. 6. Emrit did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

I nevertheless conducted a de novo review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Judge Koppe sets forth the proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Koppe's report and recommendation (ECF No. 6) is accepted. This case is dismissed without prejudice.

DATED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2017.

  
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE